



Russell Patton Davis  
1521 Quail Point Rd.  
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23454patent  
757-729-1079

Box Patent Petition § 1.103(a) NO FEE  
Commissioner for Patents,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

16 3 543  
11/10/03  
color  
10/24/03  
RECEIVED

SEP 04 2003

GROUP 3600

Dear Assistant Commissioner for Patents,

Please grant a § 1.103(a) six-month suspension of process on application 09/891,757 filed JUNE 26, 2001 for cause. The cause is now being addressed in civil litigation to achieve declaratory decree by jury against certain §18.2-481(5) felonies consistent with a pattern of racketeering.

Patent Examiner, Thomas Price, has an appetite for committing §18.2-481(5) felony that remains unabated by my April 20 Petition to the Commissioner. Mr Price handled the petition rather than the Commissioner. Mr. Price effectively dismissed the petition without factually addressing the issues. Therefore it behooves me to defend my intellectual property by obtaining a declaratory judgement that will enable a remedy to Mr. Price's felony wherein he personally committed Virginia Statutory Treason by resisting the execution of the law under the color of its authority.

The USPTO/Thomas\_Price portion of the declaratory decree by jury is expected to read:

***"It is also the understanding and declaration of this jury that the US Code of Federal Regulations contains:***

***"CFR Title 37 § 1.142 Requirement for restriction.***

***(a) If two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in an Office action will require the applicant in the reply to that action to elect an invention to which the claims will be restricted, this official action being called a requirement for restriction (also known as a requirement for division)."***

Moreover, It is the understanding and declaration of this jury that the this duly authorized regulation specifically states "independent and distinct". When an agent of the sovereign chooses to execute that law as "independent or distinct " they commit a conceit of authority that under the statutes of Virginia is a VA§18.2-481(5) felony. When committing such a criminal conceit of authority the agent exposes their personal capacity to civil and/or criminal remedy under VA§18.2-481(5) as an agent office of the sovereign is never authorized to commit treason against the sovereign therefore all such crimes are committed in personal capacity. Given that such crimes damage a citizen's equity interest in the sovereign the right to a jury trial is a constitutional."

Sincerely,

Russell P Davis 8/26/2003